

Low Mach number limit in a L^p type framework

Raphaël Danchin, Université Paris-Est Créteil

joint work with **Lingbing He**, Tsinghua University, Beijing

Analyse mathématique et numérique des équations de Navier-Stokes compressibles , IGESA
Porquerolles, 8–9 septembre 2014

Barotropic Navier-Stokes equations:

$$(NSC) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) - \mu \Delta u - \mu' \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla P = 0 \end{cases}$$

with

- $\rho = \rho(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+$: density,
- $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$: velocity field,
- $P = P(\rho)$: given pressure function,
- $\mu > 0$ and $\mu + \mu' > 0$, given viscosity coefficients,
- Fluid domain may be \mathbb{R}^d , \mathbb{T}^d , $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, etc.

Rescaled barotropic Navier-Stokes equations with Mach number ε :

$$(NSC_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon) + \operatorname{div}(\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon) - \mu \Delta u^\varepsilon - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon + \frac{\nabla P^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Rescaled barotropic Navier-Stokes equations with Mach number ε :

$$(NSC_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon) + \operatorname{div}(\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon) - \mu \Delta u^\varepsilon - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon + \frac{\nabla P^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Formal limit system : **incompressible Navier-Stokes equations**:

$$(NS) \quad \begin{cases} \rho = cste, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v - \mu \Delta v + \nabla \Pi = 0. \end{cases}$$

Rescaled barotropic Navier-Stokes equations with Mach number ε :

$$(NSC_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon) + \operatorname{div}(\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon) - \mu \Delta u^\varepsilon - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon + \frac{\nabla P^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Formal limit system : **incompressible Navier-Stokes equations**:

$$(NS) \quad \begin{cases} \rho = \text{cste}, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v - \mu \Delta v + \nabla \Pi = 0. \end{cases}$$

Ill-prepared data: $\rho_0^\varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon b_0^\varepsilon$ and u_0^ε with (b_0^ε) and (u_0^ε) suitably bounded.

Main issue: Can we justify **existence** and **convergence** in a L^p type framework ?

For simplicity we consider only the small data / global solutions case.

The limit system

Introducing $\mathcal{P} := \text{Id} + \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\text{div}$, System (NS) recasts in

$$\partial_t u + \mathcal{P}\text{div}(u \otimes u) - \mu\Delta u = 0$$

which is formally equivalent to $u = v_0 + \mathcal{B}(u, u)$ with

$$v_0(t) := e^{\mu t \Delta} u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}(u, v)(t) := - \int_0^t e^{\mu(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathcal{P}\text{div}(u \otimes v) d\tau.$$

This is a fixed point problem that may be solved in a number of *scaling invariant spaces for (NS)*, that is v is a solution to (NS) if and only if $T_\lambda v$ is a solution (for all $\lambda > 0$) with

$$T_\lambda v(t, x) := \lambda v(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x).$$

Theorem (Fujita-Kato, Kozono-Yamazaki, Cannone-Meyer-Planchon, Chemin ...)

Let $u_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$ with $\text{div} u_0 = 0$ and $p < \infty$. There exists $c > 0$ such that if

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} \leq c\mu$$

then (NS) has a unique global solution u in the space

$$X := \tilde{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}) \cap \tilde{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}+1}).$$

Strong solvability in L^p type spaces for (NSC_ε)

Setting $\rho^\varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon a^\varepsilon$, the barotropic Navier-Stokes system rewrites

$$(NSC_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t a^\varepsilon + u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla a^\varepsilon = -\varepsilon^{-1}(1 + \varepsilon a^\varepsilon)\operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon, \\ \partial_t u^\varepsilon - \mathcal{A}u^\varepsilon = -u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u^\varepsilon - J(\varepsilon a^\varepsilon)\mathcal{A}u^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1}\nabla G(\varepsilon a^\varepsilon) \end{cases}$$

with $\mathcal{A} := \mu\Delta - \mu'\nabla\operatorname{div}$, $J(b) := b/(1+b)$ and $G'(b) = P'(b)/(1+b)$.

- Local-in-time existence results of C^∞ solutions : J. Serrin (1959), J. Nash (1962).
- Local strong solutions in parabolic Sobolev spaces: V. Solonnikov (1976), A. Valli and W. Zajączkowski (1986). Global if small data and strictly convex increasing pressure law: P.B. Mucha and W. Zajączkowski (2002).

Strong solvability in L^p type spaces for (NSC_ε)

Setting $\rho^\varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon a^\varepsilon$, the barotropic Navier-Stokes system rewrites

$$(NSC_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t a^\varepsilon + u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla a^\varepsilon = -\varepsilon^{-1}(1 + \varepsilon a^\varepsilon) \operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon, \\ \partial_t u^\varepsilon - \mathcal{A}u^\varepsilon = -u^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u^\varepsilon - J(\varepsilon a^\varepsilon) \mathcal{A}u^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1} \nabla G(\varepsilon a^\varepsilon) \end{cases}$$

with $\mathcal{A} := \mu \Delta - \mu' \nabla \operatorname{div}$, $J(b) := b/(1+b)$ and $G'(b) = P'(b)/(1+b)$.

- Local well-posedness in **critical** Besov spaces : $a_0^\varepsilon \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}$ and $u_0^\varepsilon \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1}$ with $1 \leq p < 2d$ (R.D. (2001, 12)).

NB: In this context, critical means invariance by

$$a^\varepsilon(t, x) \rightarrow a^\varepsilon(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad u^\varepsilon(t, x) \rightarrow \lambda u^\varepsilon(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x),$$

which leaves System (NSC_ε) invariant if the pressure is neglected.

The proof is a combination of **standard estimates for the heat and transport equations**. Totally independent of energy arguments.

Aim: *global* existence theory in a L^p framework consistent with the limit system. Typically, **we would like** $\mathcal{P}u_0^\varepsilon \in \dot{B}_{p,r}^{d/p-1}$.

The linearized equations about $(a, u) = (0, 0)$

Stability assumption : $P'(1) = 1$.

Helmholtz decomposition : $u^\varepsilon = \mathcal{P}u^\varepsilon + \mathcal{Q}u^\varepsilon$. Total viscosity: $\nu := \mu + \mu'$.

$$\text{Linearized equations : } \begin{cases} \partial_t b^\varepsilon + \frac{\operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}u^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} = f^\varepsilon, \\ \partial_t \mathcal{Q}u^\varepsilon - \nu \Delta \mathcal{Q}u^\varepsilon + \frac{\nabla b^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} = g^\varepsilon, \\ \partial_t \mathcal{P}u^\varepsilon - \mu \Delta \mathcal{P}u^\varepsilon = h^\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

At the linear level, a L^p approach is thus relevant for $\mathcal{P}u^\varepsilon$.

Denoting $v^\varepsilon := |D|^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}u^\varepsilon$, the first two equations are equivalent to

$$(BM_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t b^\varepsilon + \frac{|D|v^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} = f^\varepsilon, \\ \partial_t v^\varepsilon - \nu \Delta v^\varepsilon - \frac{|D|v^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} = \tilde{g}^\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Spectral analysis of (BM_ε)

- Low frequency regime $\nu\varepsilon|\xi| < 2$: Eigenvalues read

$$\lambda^\pm = -\frac{\nu|\xi|^2}{2} \left(1 \pm i \sqrt{\frac{4}{\varepsilon^2 \nu^2 |\xi|^2} - 1} \right).$$

As $\lambda^\pm(\xi) \sim -\nu \frac{|\xi|^2}{2} \mp i \frac{|\xi|}{\varepsilon}$ for $\xi \rightarrow 0$, we expect (BM_ε) to behave like

$$\frac{d}{dt} z - \frac{\nu}{2} \Delta z \mp i \frac{|D|}{\varepsilon} z = 0.$$

Because of imaginary part, **energy type framework is mandatory.**

Spectral analysis of (BM_ε)

- Low frequency regime $\nu\varepsilon|\xi| < 2$: Eigenvalues read

$$\lambda^\pm = -\frac{\nu|\xi|^2}{2} \left(1 \pm i \sqrt{\frac{4}{\varepsilon^2\nu^2|\xi|^2} - 1} \right).$$

As $\lambda^\pm(\xi) \sim -\nu\frac{|\xi|^2}{2} \mp i\frac{|\xi|}{\varepsilon}$ for $\xi \rightarrow 0$, we expect (BM_ε) to behave like

$$\frac{d}{dt}z - \frac{\nu}{2}\Delta z \mp i\frac{|D|}{\varepsilon}z = 0.$$

Because of imaginary part, **energy type framework is mandatory.**

- High frequency regime $\nu\varepsilon|\xi| > 2$: Eigenvalues read

$$\lambda^\pm = -\frac{\nu|\xi|^2}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2\nu^2|\xi|^2}} \right).$$

For $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, we get

- $\lambda^+ \sim -\nu|\xi|^2$: **parabolic mode with diffusion ν** ;
- $\lambda^- \sim -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2\nu}$: **damped mode.**

There is no obstruction for L^p framework in the high frequency regime.

Global well-posedness in the case $\varepsilon = \nu = 1$

Theorem (Charve-D., Chen-Miao-Zhang, Haspot)

Let $p \in [2, 2d[\cap[2, \min(4, \frac{2d}{d-2})]$. Assume $P'(1) > 0$, $a_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}$ and $u_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$ and that a_0^ℓ and u_0^ℓ are in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}$. There exist two constants c and M s. t. if

$$\|(a_0, u_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^\ell + \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}}^h + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}}^h \leq c$$

then (NSC) has a unique global-in-time solution (a, u) with

$$(a, u)^\ell \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}), \quad a^h \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}), \\ u^h \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}+1}).$$

Global well-posedness in the case $\varepsilon = \nu = 1$

Theorem (Charve-D., Chen-Miao-Zhang, Haspot)

Let $p \in [2, 2d \cap [2, \min(4, \frac{2d}{d-2})]]$. Assume $P'(1) > 0$, $a_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}$ and $u_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$ and that a_0^ℓ and u_0^ℓ are in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}$. There exist two constants c and M s. t. if

$$\|(a_0, u_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^\ell + \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}}^h + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}}^h \leq c$$

then (NSC) has a unique global-in-time solution (a, u) with

$$(a, u)^\ell \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}), \quad a^h \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}), \\ u^h \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}+1}).$$

We want to get the following improvements:

- 1 Weaker condition on $\mathcal{P}u_0$ ($\mathcal{P}u_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$ for some $r > 1$),
- 2 Get the result for all small ε with ‘uniform’ bounds,
- 3 Convergence to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations.

General strategy

Step 1. Global existence with uniform estimates in a critical L^p type framework

The right functional framework may be guessed from linear analysis, (endpoint) maximal regularity and scaling considerations :

- Low frequencies $\varepsilon\nu|\xi| < 1$: assume $(a_0^\varepsilon, Qu_0^\varepsilon)^\ell \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}$.
- High frequencies $\varepsilon\nu|\xi| > 1$: assume $(a_0^\varepsilon, Qu_0^\varepsilon)^h \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}} \times \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$.
- $\mathcal{P}u_0^\varepsilon$ only in the larger space $\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$.

Existence space $X_{\varepsilon,\nu}^p$ given by the following norm :

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a, u)\|_{X_{\varepsilon,\nu}^p} := & \|(a, Qu)^\ell\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})} + \|Qu^h\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1})} + \|\mathcal{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{d/p-1} \cap \dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1})} \\ & + \varepsilon\nu\|a^h\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p})} + \nu\|(a, Qu)^\ell\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})} \\ & + \nu\|Qu^h\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+1})} + \mu\|\mathcal{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}^1(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{d/p+1} \cap \dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1)} + \varepsilon^{-1}\|a^h\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p})}. \end{aligned}$$

Reduction to $\nu = 1$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ thanks to the following rescaling :

$$(a, u)(t, x) := \varepsilon(a^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)(\varepsilon^2\nu t, \varepsilon\nu x) \quad \text{and} \quad (a_0, u_0)(x) := \varepsilon(a_0^\varepsilon, u_0^\varepsilon)(\varepsilon\nu x)$$

Step 2. Proof of convergence.

Global a priori estimates for $\varepsilon = \nu = 1$ (based on Haspot's method)

1. **Parabolic estimates for $\mathcal{P}u$.** Apply endpoint maximal regularity estimates to

$$\partial_t \mathcal{P}u - \mu \Delta \mathcal{P}u = -\mathcal{P}(J(a)Au) - \mathcal{P}(u \cdot \nabla u).$$

Product laws yield if $1 \leq p < 2d$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1})} + \mu \|\mathcal{P}u\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}+1})} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} + \|(a, u)\|_{X_{1,1}^p}^2, \\ \|\mathcal{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1})} + \mu \|\mathcal{P}u\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1)} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1}} + \|(a, u)\|_{X_{1,1}^p}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Global a priori estimates for $\varepsilon = \nu = 1$ (based on Haspot's method)

1. **Parabolic estimates for $\mathcal{P}u$.** Apply endpoint maximal regularity estimates to

$$\partial_t \mathcal{P}u - \mu \Delta \mathcal{P}u = -\mathcal{P}(J(a)\mathcal{A}u) - \mathcal{P}(u \cdot \nabla u).$$

Product laws yield if $1 \leq p < 2d$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1})} + \mu \|\mathcal{P}u\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}+1})} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} + \|(a, u)\|_{X_{1,1}^p}^2, \\ \|\mathcal{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1})} + \mu \|\mathcal{P}u\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1)} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1}} + \|(a, u)\|_{X_{1,1}^p}^2. \end{aligned}$$

2. **Low frequency estimates for $(a, \mathcal{Q}u)$.** Remember that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}u = -\operatorname{div}(au), \\ \partial_t \mathcal{Q}u - \Delta \mathcal{Q}u + \nabla a = -\mathcal{Q}(u \cdot \nabla u) - \mathcal{Q}(J(a)\tilde{\mathcal{A}}u) + k(a)\nabla a. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

(Spectrally localized) energy estimates yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a, \mathcal{Q}u)\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}) \cap L^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})}^\ell &\lesssim \|(a_0, \mathcal{Q}u_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^\ell + \|\operatorname{div}(au)\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})}^\ell \\ &+ \|\mathcal{Q}(u \cdot \nabla u)\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})}^\ell + \|\mathcal{Q}(J(a)\tilde{\mathcal{A}}u)\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})}^\ell + \|k(a)\nabla a\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})}^\ell, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\|(a, u)\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})}^\ell + \|(a, u)\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1})}^\ell \lesssim \|(a_0, u_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^\ell + \|(a, u)\|_{X_{1,1}^p}^2.$$

Global a priori estimates in the case $\varepsilon = \nu = 1$ (continued)

3. **Effective velocity** $w := Qu + (-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla a$. (That is $\Delta w = \Delta Qu - \nabla a$).

$$\partial_t w - \Delta w = -Q(u \cdot \nabla u) - Q(J(a)\tilde{A}u) + k(a)\nabla a + Q(au) + w - (-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla a.$$

Applying the heat estimates for the high frequencies of w yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1}) \cap L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+1})}^h &\lesssim \|w_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1}}^h + \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1})}^h + \|J(a)\tilde{A}u\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1})}^h \\ &+ \|k(a)\nabla a\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1})}^h + \|Q(au)\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1})}^h + \|w\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1})}^h + \|a\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-2})}^h. \end{aligned}$$

The important point is that if the high frequency cut-off is at $|\xi| = H$,

$$\|z\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-\alpha})}^h \lesssim H^{-2} \|z\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-\alpha+2})}^h.$$

At the end we get

$$\|w\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1}) \cap L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+1})}^h \lesssim \|w_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1}}^h + H \|(a, u)\|_{X_{1,1}^p}^2 + H^{-2} \|a\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p})}^h.$$

Global a priori estimates in the case $\varepsilon = \nu = 1$ (end)

4. **High frequencies of the density** : satisfy a transport equation with damping :

$$\partial_t a + u \cdot \nabla a + a = -a \operatorname{div} u - \operatorname{div} w.$$

We find out that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h + \int_0^t \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h d\tau &\lesssim \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h + \int_0^t (\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}) \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \|\nabla a\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1})} \|u\|_{\tilde{L}^1(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{d/p+1})} + \|w\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+1})}^h. \end{aligned}$$

Red term requires a **control on ∇u in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; L^\infty)$** . This explains why we have to assume in addition that $\mathcal{P}u_0 \in \dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1}$.

At the end, we get

$$\|a\|_{(L^1 \cap \tilde{L}^\infty)(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p})}^h \lesssim \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h + \|\mathcal{Q}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1}}^h + H\|(a, u)\|_{X_{1,1}^p}^2.$$

Global a priori estimates in the case $\varepsilon = \nu = 1$ (end)

4. **High frequencies of the density** : satisfy a transport equation with damping :

$$\partial_t a + u \cdot \nabla a + a = -a \operatorname{div} u - \operatorname{div} w.$$

We find out that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h + \int_0^t \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h d\tau &\lesssim \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h + \int_0^t (\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}) \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h d\tau \\ &\quad + \|\nabla a\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1})} \|u\|_{\tilde{L}^1(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{d/p+1})} + \|w\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p+1})}^h. \end{aligned}$$

Red term requires a **control on ∇u in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; L^\infty)$** . This explains why we have to assume in addition that $\mathcal{P}u_0 \in \dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1}$.

At the end, we get

$$\|a\|_{(L^1 \cap \tilde{L}^\infty)(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p})}^h \lesssim \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h + \|\mathcal{Q}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1}}^h + H \|(a, u)\|_{X_{1,1}^p}^2.$$

5. **Conclusion.** Take H so large as to absorb $H^{-2} \|a\|_{L^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p})}^h$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a, u)\|_{X_{1,1}^p} &\leq C (\|(a_0, \mathcal{Q}u_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^\ell + \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{d/p-1} \cap \dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1}} + \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{Q}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1}}^h + \|(a, u)\|_{X_{1,1}^p}^2). \end{aligned}$$

It is then easy to close the estimates globally if **the data are small**.

Proof of convergence by compactness

Up to subsequence, $(a^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup (a, u)$ in $\tilde{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1})$ weakly*.

- As $\rho^\varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon a^\varepsilon$, it is obvious that $\rho^\varepsilon \rightarrow 1$.
- Likewise, $\operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon = -\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(a^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon) - \varepsilon \partial_t a^\varepsilon$ implies $\operatorname{div} u = 0$.
- $\partial_t \mathcal{P}u^{\varepsilon_n} - \mu \Delta \mathcal{P}u^{\varepsilon_n} = -\mathcal{P}(u^{\varepsilon_n} \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon_n}) - \mathcal{P}(J(\varepsilon_n a^{\varepsilon_n}) \mathcal{A}u^{\varepsilon_n})$ and

$$u^{\varepsilon_n} \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon_n} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla |Qu^{\varepsilon_n}|^2 + \mathcal{P}u^{\varepsilon_n} \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon_n} + Qu^{\varepsilon_n} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}u^{\varepsilon_n}.$$

Hence it suffices to prove that

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}u^{\varepsilon_n} \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon_n}) \rightharpoonup \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}u \cdot \nabla u) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}(Qu^{\varepsilon_n} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}u^{\varepsilon_n}) \rightharpoonup 0. \quad (2)$$

We already know that $Qu = 0$.

Uniform bounds on time derivatives, and compact embedding yields

$$\phi \mathcal{P}u^{\varepsilon_n} \longrightarrow \phi \mathcal{P}u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-\frac{3}{2}}) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

which, combined with uniform bounds, ensures (2).

Other proofs of convergence : Strichartz estimates (\mathbb{R}^d case) or Schochet filtering method (\mathbb{T}^d case).

Theorem (R.D. and L. He, 2014)

Assume that the fluid domain is either \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d ($d = 2, 3$), that $2 \leq p \leq 4$ and $1 \leq r \leq p/(p-2)$ (with $p \neq 4$ if $d = 2$). There exists η s.t. for all $\varepsilon, \nu > 0$, if

$$\|(a_0^\varepsilon, Qu_0^\varepsilon)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^\ell + \|Qu_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1}}^h + \|\mathcal{P}u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{d/p-1} \cap \dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1}} + \varepsilon \nu \|a_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h \leq \eta \nu,$$

then (NSC_ε) with initial data $(a_0^\varepsilon, u_0^\varepsilon)$ has a unique global solution $(a^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$ in the space $X_{\varepsilon,\nu}^p$ with, for some constant C independent of ε and ν ,

$$\|(a^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)\|_{X_{\varepsilon,\nu}^p} \leq C \left(\|(a_0^\varepsilon, Qu_0^\varepsilon)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^\ell + \|Qu_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p-1}}^h + \|\mathcal{P}u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{d/p-1} \cap \dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1}} + \varepsilon \nu \|a_0^\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{d/p}}^h \right).$$

In addition, $Qu^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and, if $\mathcal{P}u_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow v_0$ then $\mathcal{P}u^\varepsilon$ tends weakly to the corresponding solution v to (NS).

We have $(a^\varepsilon, Qu^\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathcal{P}u^\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ strongly (for suitable norms) if the fluid domain is \mathbb{R}^d and if $\mathcal{P}u_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow v_0$.