

The Taylor model in magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)

David Gérard-Varet

IMJ, Université Paris Diderot

(with E. Dormy, I. Gallagher, L. Saint-Raymond)

1. Motivations

Main issue: To understand the Earth's magnetic field.

Consensus: due to dynamo effect.

Dynamo: Conversion of mechanical energy into magnetic energy.

Fluid dynamo : The mechanical energy is the kinetic energy of a conducting fluid.

Example: Liquid iron in the Earth's core.

Starting point: MHD equations.

Comes from a simplification of the coupling between (incompressible) Navier-Stokes and Maxwell

u : fluid velocity field, p : pressure field, B : magnetic field.

We account for the *Coriolis forcing* due to rotation (with axis $e = e_z$). The centrifugal force is included in the pressure gradient.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla p + \rho \Omega_0 e \times u - \mu \Delta u &= \mu_0^{-1} \text{curl } B \times B \\ \partial_t B &= \text{curl}(u \times B) + \eta \Delta B, \\ \text{div } u &= \text{div } B = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(mhd)

- ▶ $\text{curl}(u \times B)$: induction, related to Lorentz force.
- ▶ $\text{curl } B \times B$: Laplace force.

Remark: The constraint $\text{div } B = 0$ is preserved by the evolution

Many mathematical works about dynamo [Arnold](#), [Vishik](#), [Friedlander](#), [Childress](#), [Gilbert](#)... Notably related to the single equation

$$\partial_t B = \text{curl}(u \times B) + \eta \Delta B, \quad u \text{ fixed.}$$

Question: What fields u generate exponentially growing B ?

Idea: u should be "complex" (no symmetries, lagrangian chaos).

→ fine variations of u must be captured in the numerics.

Problem: A lot of small parameters !

In dimensionless form:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{\nabla p}{\varepsilon} + \frac{e \times u}{\varepsilon} - \frac{E}{\varepsilon} \Delta u &= \frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon \theta} \text{curl } B \times B \\ \partial_t B &= \text{curl}(u \times B) + \frac{1}{\theta} \Delta B, \\ \text{div } u &= \text{div } B = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{MHD})$$

$\varepsilon, E, \Lambda, \theta$: Rossby, Ekman, Elsasser and magnetic Reynolds numbers.

Example : Earth :

$$\varepsilon \sim 10^{-7}, \quad \Lambda = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \theta \sim 10^{-4}, \quad E \sim 10^{-15}.$$

Formal limit model : Taylor'1963

$$\begin{aligned} e \times u + \nabla p &= \frac{\Lambda}{\theta} \operatorname{curl} B \times B, & \operatorname{div} u &= 0 \\ \partial_t B &= \operatorname{curl} (u \times B) + \frac{1}{\theta} \Delta B, & \operatorname{div} B &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(T)

Remarks:

- ▶ Singular perturbation problem
- ▶ Nonlinear penalization.

Question : Relevance of the limit system ?

3. Study of the Taylor model

Step 1 : Equations on u , for a given B ($\Lambda = \theta = 1$) :

$$e \times u + \nabla p = \text{curl } B \times B, \quad \text{div } u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with $u \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Can be written

$$\mathbb{C}u = \mathbb{P}(\text{curl } B \times B)$$

\mathbb{P} : Leray projector, $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{P}(e \times \cdot)$: Coriolis operator.

Remark: \mathbb{C} skew-symmetric over

$$L_\sigma^2 := \{u \in L^2(\Omega)^3, \text{div } u = 0, u \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$$

This equation has a solution under the *Taylor constraint*:

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{curl } B \times B) \in \text{Range}(\mathbb{C})$$

Defines a solution u up to an element of $\ker(\mathbb{C})$: $u = u_m + u_g$

with $u_m \in \ker(\mathbb{C})^\perp$ and $u_g \in \ker(\mathbb{C})$ (magneto- and geostrophic).

Step 2 : Equation on B :

$$\partial_t B = \text{curl}(u_m \times B) + \text{curl}(u_g \times B) + \Delta B = 0.$$

The term $\text{curl}(u_g \times B)$ is a kind of Lagrange multiplier, associated to the Taylor constraint.

Remark: Navier-Stokes:

- ▶ ∇p : multiplier associated to the constraint $\text{div } u = 0$.
- ▶ This constraint is preserved iff $-\Delta p = \text{div}(u \cdot \nabla u)$ in Ω (with a Neumann condition on p at $\partial\Omega$).

Problem: Is there an equation on u_g ?

Unclear ! Depends on

- ▶ the geometry of Ω .
- ▶ the elements u_g in $\ker(\mathbb{C})$.

Example 1: $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$,

$$u_g = u_g(x_1, x_2), \text{ with } \partial_1 u_{g,1} + \partial_2 u_{g,2} = 0.$$

Example 2 (more realistic): $\Omega = B(0, 1)$,

$$u_g = (0, U_\theta(r), 0)$$

in cylindrical coordinates.

In this case, Taylor derives formally an elliptic equation for u_θ .

4. Modified Taylor model (without curvature)

$$\ln \Omega = \mathbb{T}^3,$$

$$\begin{cases} e \times u + \nabla p = \text{curl } B \times B, & \text{div } u = 0, \\ \partial_t B = \text{curl}(u \times B) + \Delta B, & \text{div } B = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_1 dz = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_3 dz = 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{T2})$$

One applies curl to the first line : $\partial_z u = \text{curl}(\text{curl } B \times B)$.

Taylor constraint reads

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{curl}(\text{curl } B \times B) = 0, \text{ thus } u_m = \partial_z^{-1} \text{curl}(\text{curl } B \times B)$$

(antiderivative with zero mean). Elements of $\ker(\mathbb{C})$ take the form

$$u_g = (0, U(x_1), 0).$$

Using the 2nd equation in the relation $\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{curl}(\text{curl} B \times B) = 0$ leads to

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dx_1} \left(\alpha(x_1) \frac{d}{dx_1} U \right) = \frac{d}{dx_1} \mathcal{F}(u_m, B)}$$

with $\alpha(x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} B_1^2(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_2 dx_3$.

If $\alpha > 0$, the model reduces to an evolution equation on B .

Main question : Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem ?

Seems a hard question. We restrict to some linearized problem, with some smooth reference solution \bar{B} .

$$\boxed{\begin{cases} e \times u + \nabla p = \text{curl} b \times \bar{B} + \text{curl} \bar{B} \times b, & \text{div } u = 0, \\ \partial_t b = \text{curl}(u \times \bar{B}) + \text{curl}(\bar{u} \times b) + \Delta b, & \text{div } b = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_1 dz = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_3 dz = 0. \end{cases}} \quad (\text{LT})$$

Again : $u = u_m + u_g$, $u_m = \partial_z^{-1} \text{curl} (\text{curl } b \times \bar{B} + \text{curl } \bar{B} \times b)$.

Idea: focus on the m -term.

We consider some \bar{B} such that the linearized Taylor constraint is preserved by the evolution

$\rightarrow u_g = 0$.

Example 1:

- ▶ $\bar{B} = \bar{B} = B(x_1, x_2)$.
- ▶ initial data b_0 of zero mean.

Example 2:

- ▶ $\bar{B} = (B(z), 0, 0)$, $B > 0$.
- ▶ B has Fourier modes with even indices, b_0 has Fourier modes with odd indices.

Our main result is:

Theorem: The linearized system (LT) is well-posed in L^2 for these examples :

$$\|b(t)\|_{L^2} \leq e^{Ct} \|b(0)\|_{L^2}$$

Our main result is:

Theorem: The linearized system (LT) is well-posed in L^2 for these examples :

$$\|b(t)\|_{L^2} \leq e^{Ct} \|b(0)\|_{L^2}$$

A few words on the proof (example 2)

Issue: the operator $b \mapsto \text{curl}(u_m \times b)$, where

$$u_m = u_m(b) = \partial_z^{-1} \partial_z^{-1} \text{curl}(\text{curl } b \times \bar{B} + \text{curl } \bar{B} \times b).$$

- ▶ Operator of order 3 in x_1, x_2 (and of order 2 in z).
- ▶ The leading part of the operator is skew-symmetric.
- ▶ For \bar{B} large enough, derivatives of order ≤ 2 could generate a growth like $e^{c(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)t}$.

To solve this issue, several steps are needed.

► Step 1.

Fourier : $(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow (\xi_1, \xi_2)$. Simple arguments allow to reduce to the regime:

$$\xi_i = \frac{\eta_i}{\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon \ll 1, \quad \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 = 1, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

In this regime, the linearized equation takes the form

$$\partial_t b + \frac{\mathcal{A}b}{\varepsilon^3} + \frac{\mathcal{L}b}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{b}{\varepsilon^2} + \dots = 0$$

where $\mathcal{A}b = -\eta_1^2 B \partial_z^{-1} B \begin{pmatrix} i\eta_1 \\ i\eta_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \times b$, $\mathcal{L}b = \eta_1 \eta_2 B \partial_z^{-1} B' b$.

► Step 2.

Spectral analysis of operator $B\partial_z^{-1}B$. Explicit orthonormal basis of eigenvectors e_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The spectral analysis of \mathcal{A} follows.

► Step 3.

Treatment of "high frequencies" : $\Pi^N b = \sum_{|k| \geq N} \begin{pmatrix} (b_1|e_k) \\ (b_2|e_k) \\ (b_3|e_k) \end{pmatrix} e_k$

One can conclude using that \mathcal{L} contains a ∂_z^{-1} .

Remark: one shows that

High frequencies in Fourier \approx high frequencies in the e_k 's
(stationary phase theorem).

► Step 4.

Treatment of "low frequencies" : $\Pi_N b = \sum_{|k| < N} \begin{pmatrix} (b_1|e_k) \\ (b_2|e_k) \\ (b_3|e_k) \end{pmatrix} e_k.$

Use of a normal form: broadly, change of unknown of the form

$$b_N^\varepsilon = (I + \varepsilon Q) \Pi_N b.$$

Equation of the type

$$\partial_t b_N^\varepsilon + \frac{\mathcal{A} b_N^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^3} + \frac{([Q, \mathcal{A}] + \mathcal{L}) b_N^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{b_N^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} + \dots = 0$$

One constructs Q such that $[Q, \mathcal{A}] = -\mathcal{L}.$

Remark : potential trouble due to multiple eigenvalues.

- Eigenvalue 0 : pb connected to $\ker(\mathcal{A})$ is evacuated thanks to $\operatorname{div} b = 0.$ *Almost orthogonality* (lower order in ε).
- Other eigenvalues have multiplicity 2. OK thanks to a compatibility condition satisfied by $\mathcal{L}.$